

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/954,448 | 09/18/2001 | Ronald M. Asbach | FSHR-035/00US | 6570 | | |
| 22903 | 7590 05/29/2003 | | | | | |
| | GODWARD LLP | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700 ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER RESTON, VA 20190-5061 | | | CHEN, I | CHEN, JOSE V | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 3637 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ··· | | Applicati n N . | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|
| • | | 09/954,448 | ASBACH ET AL. | | | |
| | Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | José V. Chen | 3637 | | | |
| | - Th MAILING DATE of this communication app | l | h c rrespondenc address | | | |
| Peri df r Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 | Sentember 2001 | | | | |
| · <u></u> | · ' | nis action is non-final. | | | | |
| 2a) □ | , | | s prosecution as to the merit | s is | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| •— | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers | election requirement. | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 - | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 - | Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce | pted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Pri rity u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received in App | ication No | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list | ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(e) (to a provisional applica | ation). | | |
| |) \square The translation of the foreign language processors $oldsymbol{Acknowledgment}$ is made of a claim for domes | | | | | |
| Attachm n | t(s) | | | | | |
| 2) D Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) Notice of Info | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | - · | | |
| J.S. Patent and T | rademark Office | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21 and 25-48, drawn to tray and tray insert, classified in class108, subclass 25.
- II. Claim22-24, drawn to a high chair, classified in class 297, subclass 148.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and I are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention is drawn to the structure of a high chair. The subcombination has separate utility such as a receptacle to hold miscellaneous items.

Because: 1) these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification; 2) these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I; 3) these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3637

During a telephone conversation with Scott Talbot (34 262) on 04-08-03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21, 24-48. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims22-24 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

After further consideration, the following election of species is further made. Any inconvenience is regretted.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figs. 1-8, 13-15, figs. 9-15, figs. 13-17 showing a first, second, third embodiment, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 3637

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3229. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703)308-2168. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9326 for regular communications and (703)872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-2168.

Art Unit: 3637

José V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc May 28, 2003